ACLARACION DE www.radarmalvinas.com.ar

El siguiente documento se encuentra en el sitio

http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk

en donde usted puede leerlo directamente en su formato original y acceder al resto del sitio.

Copyright © 2012 National Archives UK

Se refiere a:

21ABR82 1627Z – **UKE Wash a FCO.** Charla con Haig, crítica al gobierno argentino y pronta recuperación de Georgias del Sur.

(PREM 19/620) (desclasificado DIC2012)

A efectos de preservarlo como documento histórico para el caso en que el archivo original o el sitio que lo contiene no figurasen más en internet, a continuación se ha realizado una copia.





GR 900

DEDIP

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL DESKBY 211800Z (FCO)

FM WASHINGTON 211627Z APR 82

TO IMMEDIATE F C O

TELEGRAM NO 1377 OF 21 APRIL

INFO IMMEDIATE UKMIS NEW YORK (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR)

FALKLANDS

- 1. IN MY TALK WITH HAIG TODAY HE DESCRIBED THE UTTER IRRATIONALITY AND CHAOTIC NATURE OF THE PRESENT ARGENTINE LEADERSHIP. HE MENTIONED AGAIN THE FIGURE OF 50 PEOPLE WHO SEEMED ONE WAY OR ANOTHER TO BE INVOLVED IN DECISIONS. HE SAID THAT HE WOULD REACH SOME SORT OF AGREEMENT ON ONE OF THE POINTS AT ISSUE WITH ONE OR SEVERAL OF THE JUNTA ONLY TO HAVE A CORPS COMMANDER COME INTO THE ROOM AN HOUR OR SO LATER TO STATE THAT IT WAS UNACCEPTABLE.
- 2. COSTA MENDEZ WAS CERTAINLY WITHOUT INFLUENCE. AS FOR GALTIERI,
 HE WAS NOT ONLY AN ALCOHOLIC BUT HE WAS INCAPABLE OF RATIONAL
 THOUGHT, HAIG WAS QUITE CONVINCED THAT WHATEVER HAPPENED HE WOULD
 NOT LAST LONG AT THE HEAD OF THE GOVERNMENT.
 - 3. I SAID THAT THIS SEEMED TO ME TO BE A CENTRAL POINT AT ISSUE.
 WAS THERE MUCH POINT IN CONTINUING TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE PRESENT
 ARGENTINE LEADERS? WERE THEY NOT COMMITTED TO A MILITARY SOLUTION
 AND A MILITARY SUCCESS? HAIG SAID THAT HE CERTAINLY HAD GRAVE
 DOUBTS ON WHETHER THERE WAS ANY POINT IN GOING ON NEGOTIATING WITH
 THEM. HE DID NOT CONSIDER, HOWEVER, THAT THEY WERE COMPLETELY
 CONFIDENT. THE MOOD IN BA WAS VERY UNCERTAIN. ALTHOUGH HE HAD TOLD
 ME THAT THE PRESENT JUNTA THOUGHT ONLY IN MILITARY TERMS AND DID
 NOT CALCULATE ECONOMIC OR POLITICAL PRESSURES, HE DID NOT WISH TO
 SUGGEST THAT THE LATTER WERE NOT IMPORTANT. HE BELIEVED THAT THEY
 WERE VERY RELEVANT.
 - 5. I THEN ASKED HIM WHETHER HE HAD LEFT THE ARGENTINE LEADERS IN NO DOUBT THAT IF TALKS BROKE DOWN BECAUSE OF THEIR INTRANSIGENCE THE USA WOULD RESORT TO ECONOMIC SANCTIONS AGAINST ARGENTINA. HAIG SAID THAT HE HAD NOT USED THE PHRASE ECONOMIC SANCTIONS, BUT HE HAD SAID THREE TIMES TO GALTIERI THAT IN THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES THE USA WOULD BE ON THE BRITISH SIDE.
 - 6. JUST TO SHOW THE IMPOSSIBILITY OF NEGOTIATING WITH THEM HAIG TOLD ME THAT AT THE LAST MINUTE, JUST AS HE WAS LEAVING IN THE AIRPORT, THE ARGENTINES HAD TRIED TO PRESS INTO HIS HAND A NEW FORMULATION ON THE SUBJECT OF SOVEREIGNTY. THIS HAD BEEN MUCH MORE EXTREME AND CATEGORICAL THAN THE TEXT THEY HAD BEEN WORKING ON. HAIG SAID HE HAD REFUSED TO TAKE DELIVERY OF IT.

CONFIDENTIAL

(FIRE)

7. HAIG SAID THAT HE WOULD OF COURSE BE DISCUSSING THE THREE MAIN ISSUES WITH YOU TOMORROW. HE WENT ON TO TELL ME THAT HE THOUGHT THAT THE SUBJECT OF WITHDRAWAL WAS REALLY NOT TOO BAD FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW. OUR SUBMARINES COULD DO WHAT THEY LIKED BECAUSE THE ARGENTINIANS HAD NO IDEA WHERE THEY WERE. THE TERMS PROPOSED FROM BA DID PROVIDE FOR WITHDRAWAL WITHIN A FORTNIGHT AND THAT WAS CERTAINLY AN ACHIEVEMENT.

ON THE SECOND POINT HAIG EMPHASISED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE US
PRESENCE IN THE INTERIM AUTHORITY THAT WOULD RUN THE ISLAND. IN
ANSWER TO MY QUESTION WHETHER UNANIMITY OR A MAJORITY WAS REQUIRED
FOR DECISIONS BY THAT AUTHORITY, HAIG SAID IT WAS QUITE CLEAR THAT
IT WOULD BE A MAJORITY. THEREFORE THE ARGENTINES COULD NOT VETO
ANYTHING AND THE US AND BRITISH WOULD PREVAIL. AT THE SAME TIME
HE UNDERSTOOD HOW VERY UNSATISFACTORY IT WAS THAT OUR PARTICIPATION
IN THIS BODY WOULD BE NO GREATER THAN THAT OF THE ARGENTINIANS. HE
BELIEVED, HOWEVER, THAT ON SUBJECTS LIKE ENTRY BY ARGENTINIANS ONTO
THE ISLANDS AND THE ACQUISITION BY THEM OF PROPERTY, THERE WOULD
BE NO DIFFICULTY IN THE US AND UK GETTING THEIR WAY. HE THOUGHT
THAT THE US WERE LAYING UP FOR THEMSELVES A GOOD DEAL OF TROUBLE
BUT NEVERTHELESS HE BELIEVED ON THE WHOLE THAT SUCH A TRIPARTITE
SYSTEM WOULD BE MANAGEABLE AND SAFEGUARD WHAT WE THOUGHT NECESSARY.

B. AS REGARDS SOVEREIGNTY HE SAID THAT THE WORD 'RIGHTS' HAD BEEN HIS IDEA, HE KNEW THAT WE WANTED 'WISHES' AND THE ARGENTINIANS WANTED 'INTERESTS', HE THOUGHT THAT 'RIGHTS' WOULD MEET OUR PROBLEM WHILE NOT GOING TOO FAR IN THE ARGENTINIAN DIRECTION. I SAID THAT I THOUGHT FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW IT WAS UNACCEPTABLE. IT ALSO LOOKED TO ME AS THOUGH THE BA WORDING ON THIS SUBJECT WOULD MEAN THAT THE ARGENTINIANS WOULD BE ABLE TO ASSERT THAT SOVEREIGNTY WAS INTENDED TO PASS TO ARGENTINA NOT LATER THAN 1 JANUARY 1983. HAIG DID NOT DENY THIS, BUT HE SAID THAT HE THOUGHT THAT THERE COULD BE ROOM FOR ARGUMENT ABOUT IT. I WAS STRUCK, THOUGH, BY HIS ACCEPTANCE OF THE VIEW THAT THIS WAS CERTAINLY THE ARGENTINIAN INTENTION IN PUTTING FORWARD THIS WORDING. I SAID THAT THIS WAS OF COURSE TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE TO US.

- HENDERSON

[COPIES SENT TO NO 10 DOWNING ST]

LIMITED
HD/DEF D
HD/S AM D
HD/FLANNING STAFF
PS
PS/PUS
MR WRIGHT
MR GIFFARD
MR BULLARD
MR GILLMORE

MR URE

COFIES TO:PS/DEFENCE SECRETARY
PS/HOME SECRETARY
PS/CHIEF OF THE DEFENCE STAFF
PS/SIR R ARMSTRONG CABINET OFFICE
MR WADE-GERY
SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER