

**ACLARACION DE [www.radarmalvinas.com.ar](http://www.radarmalvinas.com.ar)**

**El siguiente documento se encuentra en el sitio**

<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk>

**en donde usted puede leerlo directamente en su formato original y acceder al resto del sitio.**

**Copyright © 2012 National Archives UK**

**Se refiere a:**

**26ABR82 – Armstrong a Margaret Thatcher.** Destrucción del aeródromo de Puerto Argentino.

(PREM 19/645) (desclasificado DIC 2012)

**A efectos de preservarlo como documento histórico para el caso en que el archivo original o el sitio que lo contiene no figurasen más en internet, a continuación se ha realizado una copia.**



SECRET

PRIME MINISTERThe destruction of Pat Stanley Airfield

Ministers are to decide tomorrow whether to decide to deploy Vulcans to Ascension.

2. Discussions with MOD this afternoon made it clear a number of things:

1. It is not a matter of Vulcans or Harriers. Even if ~~Vulcans~~ a Vulcan went in on Thursday night, Harriers would have to go in on Friday morning, to see what results had been achieved, and to 'strafe' the associated facilities and parked aircraft. And, if the airstrip was being repaired, Vulcans or Harriers would have to do a repeat operation. The force commander might even want to send in Harriers in the evening before a Vulcan went in, to try to trace out the radar.

SECRET

SECRET

2. A Vulcan would drop a strike of 21 bombs diagonally across the strip, with high statistical probability of one or two falling on the strip. The strike would straddle about 2,000 feet (dropped from 400 feet). Windows would probably not be broken in Port Stanley, 2½ miles away.

4 Harriers dive bombing the strip could carry 8 bombs, with a high statistical probability of six hits on the runway.

3. It would be militarily acceptable to declare the T&EZ but not to bomb the runway unless and until the Argentines used it. So far they have failed to challenge any of our warnings. They might decide not to challenge this.

3. I attach a list of questions which you might like to cover when this subject is discussed.

SECRET

RTA

26. iv. 82

SECRET UK EYES B

PORT STANLEY AIRFIELD

MILITARY QUESTIONS

1. Why bomb it with a Vulcan?
2. What will bombing by a Vulcan achieve?
3. How could Vulcan bombing fail?
4. Statistically, what is the size of bomb pattern from a Vulcan and how far away from the target could bombs fall?
5. How many non-Argentiniens are there on, or near, the airfield?
6. What are the statistical risks of hitting other targets, for example, buildings or people in Port Stanley - or non-military activities elsewhere?
7. What are the risks of breaking windows or doing minor damage over a wide area - and how wide?
8. Is it possible that, if one or two bombs hit the runway, little else military might be hit?
9. What is the probability that the target could be missed altogether - or only the edge of it be hit?
10. How long will the Vulcan flight take?
11. How many in-flight refuellings will each Vulcan flight take and what would happen if one or more failed?
12. How easy is it to fill in a hole in the runway and how long will it take?

SECRET UK EYES B